arXiv:1601.06257v1 [math.GT] 23 Jan 2016

A NORMAL GENERATING SET FOR THE TORELLI GROUP OF A COMPACT NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE

RYOMA KOBAYASHI

Abstract. For a compact surface S, let $\mathcal{I}(S)$ denote the *Torelli group* of S. For a compact orientable surface Σ, $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ is generated by *BSCC maps* and *BP maps* (see [10] and [11]). For a non-orientable closed surface N, $\mathcal{I}(N)$ is generated by BSCC maps and BP maps (see [5]). In this paper, we give an explicit normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$, where N_g^b is a genus-g compact non-orientable surface with b boundary components for $g \geq 4$ and $b \geq 1$.

1. Introduction

For $g \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$, let N_g^b denote a genus-g compact connected non-orientable surface with b boundary components, and let $N_g = N_g^0$. In this paper, we regard N_g^b as a surface obtained by attaching g Möbius bands to a sphere with $g + b$ boundary components, as shown in Figure 1. We say each of these Möbius bands attached to this sphere a *cross cap*. The *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ of N_g^b is the group consisting of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms over N_g^b which fix each point of the boundary. The *Torelli group* $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ of N_g^b is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ consisting of elements acting trivially on the integral first homology group $H_1(N_g^b; \mathbb{Z})$ of N_g^b. The Torelli group of a compact orientable surface is generated by *BSCC maps* and *BP maps* (see [10] and [11]). In particular, Johnson [6] showed that the Torelli group of an orientable closed surface is finitely generated by BP maps. Hirose and the author [5] showed that $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is generated by BSCC maps and BP maps for $g \geq 4$. In this paper, we give an explicit normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ consisting of BSCC maps and BP maps, for $g \geq 4$ and $b \geq 1$.



Figure 1. A genus-g compact connected non-orientable surface with b boundary components.

Let N be a compact connected non-orientable surface. For a simple closed curve c on N, we call c an *A-circle* (resp. an *M-circle*) if its regular neighborhood is an annulus (resp. a Möbius band), as shown in Figure 2. For an A-circle c, we can define the mapping class t_c, called the *Dehn twist* about c, and the direction of the twist is indicated by a



(a) A-circles. (b) M-circles.

FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 3. The Dehn twist t_c about c.

small arrow written beside c as shown in Figure 3. We can notice that an A-circle (resp. an M-circle) passes through cross caps even times (resp. odd times).

Let α, β, β', γ, δ_i, ρ_i and σ_{ij} be simple closed curves on N_g^b as shown in Figure 4. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $g \geq 5$ and $b \geq 0$. In $\mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$, $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_{δ_i}, t_{ρ_i} and $t_{\sigma_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq b-1$ with $i < j$. In $\mathcal{M}(N_4^b)$, $\mathcal{I}(N_4^b)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_{δ_i}, t_{ρ_i}, $t_{\sigma_{ij}}$ and t_γ for $1 \leq i, j \leq b-1$ with $i < j$.*



(a) Loops α, β, β' and δ_i.



(b) Loops γ, ρ_i and σ_{ij}.

FIGURE 4.

In this paper, for $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$, the composition gf means that we first apply f and then g.

2. Basics on mapping class groups for non-orientable surfaces

2.1. On mapping class groups for non-orientable surfaces.

Mapping class groups for orientable surfaces are generated by only Dehn twists. Lickorish showed that $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is generated by Dehn twists and *Y-homeomorphisms* and that the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ generated by all Dehn twists is an index 2 subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ (see [7, 8]). Hence $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is not generated by only Dehn twists. On the other hand,

since a Y-homeomorphism acts trivially on $H_1(N_g;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is not generated by only Y-homeomorphisms.

Chillingworth [2] found a finite generating set for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. $\mathcal{M}(N_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(N_1^1)$ are trivial (see [3]). Finite presentations for $\mathcal{M}(N_2)$, $\mathcal{M}(N_2^1)$, $\mathcal{M}(N_3)$ and $\mathcal{M}(N_4)$ are obtained by [7], [12], [1] and [14], respectively. Paris-Szepietowski [9] obtained a finite presentation of $\mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ for $b = 0, 1$ and $g + b > 3$.

Let N be a non-orientable surface, and let a and m be an oriented A-circle and an M-circle on N respectively such that a and m mutually intersect transversely at only one point. We now define a Y-homeomorphism $Y_{m,a}$. Let K be a regular neighborhood of $a \cup b$ in N, and let M be a regular neighborhood of m in the interior of K. We can see that K is homeomorphic to the Klein bottle with one boundary component. $Y_{m,a}$ is defined as the isotopy class of a diffeomorphism over N which is described by pushing M once along a and which fixes each point of the boundary and the exterior of K (see Figure 5).



FIGURE 5. The Y-homoemorphism $Y_{m,a}$.

2.2. **On Torelli groups for non-orientable surfaces.**

Let c be an A-circle on a non-orientable surface N such that $N \setminus c$ is not connected. We call t_c a *bounding simple closed curve map*, for short a *BSCC* map. For example, in Theorem 1.1, t_α, t_γ, t_{δ_i}, t_{ρ_i} and $t_{\sigma_{ij}}$ are BSCC maps. Let c_1 and c_2 be A-circles on N such that $N \setminus c_i$ is connected, $N \setminus (c_1 \cup c_2)$ is not connected and one of its connected components is an orientable surface with two boundary components. We call $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ a *bounding pair map*, for short a *BP* map. For example, in Theorem 1.1, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$ is a BP map.

Hirose and the author [5] obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). *For $g \geq 5$, $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is normally generated by t_α and $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. $\mathcal{I}(N_4)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$ and t_γ in $\mathcal{M}(N_4)$.*

Theorem 1.1 is a natural extension of Theorem 2.1.

We can check that all BSCC maps and BP maps are in $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$. In addition, by Theorem 1.1, we have that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ is generated by BSCC maps and BP maps. We do not know whether or not $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ can be finitely generated.

2.3. **Capping, Pushing and Forgetful homomorphisms.**

Let N be a compact non-orientable surface. Take a point $*$ in the interior of N. Let $\mathcal{M}(N, *)$ denote the group consisting of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms over N which fix $*$ and each point of the boundary, and let $\mathcal{I}(N, *)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N, *)$ consisting of elements acting trivially on $H_1(N;\mathbb{Z})$

Take a point $*$ in the interior of N_g^{b-1}. We regard N_g^b as a subsurface of N_g^{b-1} not containing $*$. The natural embedding $N_g^b \hookrightarrow N_g^{b-1}$ induces the homomorphism $\mathcal{C}_g^b : \mathcal{M}(N_g^b) \to \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$, called the *capping homomorphism*. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [4, 13]). $\ker \mathcal{C}_g^b$ *is generated by* t_{δ_b}.

Since t_{δ_b} is in $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.3. $\ker \mathcal{C}_g^b|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)}$ *is generated by* t_{δ_b}.

We remark that $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ and $\mathcal{C}_g^b|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)}$ are not surjective.

The *pushing homomorphism* $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1} : \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \to \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ is defined as follows. For $x \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ take a representative oriented loop $\tilde{x}$ based at $*$. $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$ is described by pushing $*$ once along $\tilde{x}$ and fixes each point of exterior of neighborhood of $\tilde{x}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}$ is an anti-homomorphism, that is, for $x, y \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ we have $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(xy) = \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y)\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$. The *forgetful homomorphism* $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} : \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *) \to \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1})$ is defined naturally. Note that $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}$ is surjective.

We have the natural exact sequence

$$\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}} \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}} \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since $\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}$ is in $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ and $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}(\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1}, *))$ is equal to $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$, we have the exact sequence

$$\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1}, *) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

3. A normal generating set fot $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$

By the capping homomorphism $\mathcal{C}_g^b : \mathcal{M}(N_g^b) \to \mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ we have that a normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ consists of t_{δ_b} and lifts by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ of normal generators of $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$. Thus in this section we consider a normal generating set for $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$.

Let α_i and β_j be oriented loops on N_g^{b-1} based at $*$ as shown in Figure 6, and let x_i and y_j be the elements of $\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ corresponding to α_i and β_j respectively. Note that $\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ is generated by x_i and y_j for $1 \leq i \leq g$ and $1 \leq j \leq b-1$.



FIGURE 6. The oriented loops α_i and β_j on N_g^{b-1}.

Let $p : \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \to \pi_1(N_g, *)$ denote the natural surjection defined as $p(x_i) = x_i$ and $p(y_j) = 1$, for $b \geq 1$. For $x \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ we can denote $p(x) = x_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} x_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots x_{i_t}^{\varepsilon_t}$, where t is the word length of $p(x)$ and $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} O_i(x) &= \sharp\{i_{2k-1} \mid i_{2k-1} = i\}, \\ E_i(x) &= \sharp\{i_{2k} \mid i_{2k} = i\}, \\ \Gamma_g^{b-1} &= \{x \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \mid O_i(x) = E_i(x), 1 \leq i \leq g\}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, for $x = x_1 y_2 x_2 x_3^{-1} y_5 y_1^{-2} x_1 x_2^{-1} y_4^3 x_3^{-1} \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$, since $p(x) = x_1 x_2 x_3^{-1} x_1 x_2^{-1} x_3^{-1}$, we have that $O_i(x)$ and $E_i(x)$ are equal to 1 (resp. 0) for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (resp. $i \geq 4$), and hence x is in Γ_g^{b-1}.

In this section we prove the following three propositions.

Proposition 3.1. Im $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$ *is equal to* $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$.

Proposition 3.2. $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$ *is equal to* $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$.

Proposition 3.3. $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$ *is the normal closure of* $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_j)$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq b-1$.

By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_g^{b-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Hence $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ is the normal closure of $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$ and lifts by $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$ of normal generators of $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$. In addition, by Proposition 3.3 we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.4. *In* $\mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$, $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ *is normally generated by* $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$, $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_j)$ *and lifts by* $\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$ *of normal generators of* $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$, *for* $1 \leq j \leq b-1$.

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 3.1.**

To prove Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$ there is $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ such that $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$.

Let γ_i and δ_j be oriented loops on N_g^b as shown in Figure 7, and let c_i and d_i be the elements of $H_1(N_g^b; Z)$ corresponding to γ_i and δ_j respectively. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $H_1(N_g^b, \mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation

$$H_1(N_g^b, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle c_1, \ldots, c_g, d_1, \ldots, d_b \mid 2(c_1 + \cdots + c_g) + (d_1 + \cdots + d_b) = 0 \rangle,$$

and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{g+b-1}$.



FIGURE 7. The oriented loops γ_i and δ_j on N_g^b.

For $f \in \mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ we denote by f_* the automorphism over $H_1(N_g^b; \mathbb{Z})$ induced by f. Since f fixes each point of the boundary of N_g^b, we have that $f_*(d_j) = d_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq b$.

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$ there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(N_g^b)$ such that $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)(\psi) = \varphi$. For $1 \leq i \leq g$ there is an integer n_i such that $\psi_*(c_i) = c_i + n_i d_b$. Let γ_{ij} and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{ij}$ be simple closed curves on N_g^b as shown in Figure 8, and let $\tau_{ij} = t_{\gamma_{ij}} t_{\widetilde{\gamma}_{ij}}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$. τ_{ij} is a mapping class which is described by pushing the b-th boundary component once along between γ_{ij} and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{ij}$. We can check

$$(\tau_{ij})_*(c_t) = \begin{cases} c_i - d_b & (t = i), \\ c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ c_t & (t \neq i, j). \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 8. The loops γ_{ij} and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{ij}$.

Let $\tau = \tau_{g-1g}^{n_{g-1}} \cdots \tau_{2g}^{n_2} \tau_{1g}^{n_1}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi} = \tau\psi$. Since $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)(\tau) = 1$ we have $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$. For $1 \leq i \leq g-1$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c_i) &= \tau_*(\psi_*(c_i)) \\
&= \tau_*(c_i + n_i d_b) \\
&= \tau_*(c_i) + n_i \tau_*(d_b) \\
&= (\tau_{ig})_*^{n_i}(c_i) + n_i d_b \\
&= (c_i - n_i d_b) + n_i d_b \\
&= c_i.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c_g) &= \tau_*(\psi_*(c_g)) \\
&= \tau_*(c_g + n_g d_b) \\
&= \tau_*(c_g) + n_g \tau_*(d_b) \\
&= (c_g + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} n_k d_b) + n_g d_b \\
&= c_g + \sum_{k=1}^{g} n_k d_b.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $c = 2(c_1 + \cdots + c_g) + (d_1 + \cdots + d_b)(= 0)$. We see

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c) &= 2(\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c_1) + \cdots + \widetilde{\varphi}_*(c_g)) + (\widetilde{\varphi}_*(d_1) + \cdots + \widetilde{\varphi}_*(d_b)) \\
&= 2(c_1 + \cdots + c_{g-1} + c_g + \sum_{k=1}^{g} n_k d_b) + (d_1 + \cdots + d_b) \\
&= c + 2\sum_{k=1}^{g} n_k d_b \\
&= 2\sum_{k=1}^{g} n_k d_b.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c) = 0$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{g} n_k = 0$, and hence $\widetilde{\varphi}_*(c_g) = c_g$. Hence we have that $\widetilde{\varphi}_*$ is the identity. Therefore we conclude that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is in $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ with $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$. Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.2. **Proof of Proposition 3.2.**

Note that $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$ can be lifted by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ if and only if the word length of $p(x) \in \pi_1(N_g, *)$ is even. Let $\pi_1^+(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ denote the subgroup of $\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ consisting of x such that the word length of $p(x)$ is even. For $x \in \pi_1^+(N_g^{b-1}, *)$, let x_* denote the automorphism over $H_1(N_g^b, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the natural lift by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ of $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$. For example, the natural lifts of $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_j)$ are t_{ρ_b} and $t_{\sigma_{jb}} t_{\delta_j}^{-1}$, respectively.

We can see that $(x_i^2)_* = 1$ and $(y_j)_* = 1$. Hence we have that $(x_i x_j)_*$, $(x_i x_j^{-1})_*$, $(x_i^{-1} x_j)_*$, $(x_i^{-1} x_j^{-1})_*$ and $(x_j x_i)_*^{-1}$ are mutually equal. Let $x_{ij} = (x_i x_j)_*$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$. Note that

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} (\gamma_{ij})_* & (i < j), \\ (\gamma_{ji}^{-1})_* & (j < i). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.5. *For $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq g$, we have $x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij}$.*

Proof. If $(i,j,k,l) = (i,i,i,i)$, (i,i,k,i), (i,i,i,l), (i,i,k,k), (i,j,i,j), (i,j,j,i) and (i,i,k,l), it is clear that $x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij}$. Hence we check the cases where $(i,j,k,l) = (i,j,i,l)$, (i,j,k,j), (i,j,k,i) and (i,j,k,l). For any $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ since x_{ij} acts trivially on $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_b$, we check the actions on $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_g$.

For any mutually different indices $1 \leq i, j, l \leq g$ we see

$$x_{ij} x_{il}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{ij}(c_i - d_b) = c_i - 2d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{ij}(c_j) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{ij}(c_l + d_b) = c_l + d_b & (t = l), \\ x_{ij}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, l), \end{cases}$$

$$x_{il} x_{ij}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{il}(c_i - d_b) = c_i - 2d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{il}(c_j + d_b) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{il}(c_l) = c_l + d_b & (t = l), \\ x_{il}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, l). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $x_{ij} x_{il} = x_{il} x_{ij}$.

For any mutually different indices $1 \leq i, j, k \leq g$ we see

$$x_{ij} x_{kj}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{ij}(c_i) = c_i - d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{ij}(c_j + d_b) = c_j + 2d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{ij}(c_k - d_b) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{ij}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k), \end{cases}$$

$$x_{kj} x_{ij}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{kj}(c_i - d_b) = c_i - d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{kj}(c_j + d_b) = c_j + 2d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{kj}(c_k) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{kj}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $x_{ij} x_{kj} = x_{kj} x_{ij}$.

For any mutually different indices $1 \leq i, j, k \leq g$ we see

$$x_{ij} x_{ki}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{ij}(c_i + d_b) = c_i & (t = i), \\ x_{ij}(c_j) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{ij}(c_k - d_b) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{ij}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k), \end{cases}$$

$$x_{ki} x_{ij}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{ki}(c_i - d_b) = c_i & (t = i), \\ x_{ki}(c_j + d_b) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{ki}(c_k) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{ki}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $x_{ij}x_{ki} = x_{ki}x_{ij}$.

For any mutually different indices $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq g$ we see

$$x_{ij}x_{kl}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{ij}(c_i) = c_i - d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{ij}(c_j) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{ij}(c_k - d_b) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{ij}(c_l + d_b) = c_l + d_b & (t = l), \\ x_{ij}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k, l), \end{cases}$$

$$x_{kl}x_{ij}(c_t) = \begin{cases} x_{kl}(c_i - d_b) = c_i - d_b & (t = i), \\ x_{kl}(c_j + d_b) = c_j + d_b & (t = j), \\ x_{kl}(c_k) = c_k - d_b & (t = k), \\ x_{kl}(c_l) = c_l + d_b & (t = l), \\ x_{kl}(c_t) = c_t & (t \neq i, j, k, l). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have $x_{ij}x_{kl} = x_{kl}x_{ij}$.

Thus we obtain the claim. □

For $x \in \pi_1^+(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ denote $p(x) = x_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} x_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots x_{i_{2l}}^{\varepsilon_{2l}}$. Since $(y_j)_* = 1$, we have $x_* = x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_3 i_4} \cdots x_{i_{2l-1} i_{2l}}$. For $1 \leq i \leq g$, let $s = \sharp\{k \mid i_{2k-1} = i_{2k} = i\}$, $t = O_i(x) - s$ and $u = E_i(x) - s$. Since $x_{ii} = 1$ and $x_{kl}(c_i) = c_i$, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_*(c_i) &= x_{k(u)i} \cdots x_{k(1)i} \cdot x_{ij(t)} \cdots x_{ij(1)} \cdot x_{ii}^s(c_i) \\ &= x_{k(u)i} \cdots x_{k(1)i} \cdot x_{ij(t)} \cdots x_{ij(1)}(c_i) \\ &= x_{k(u)i} \cdots x_{k(1)i}(c_i - td_b) \\ &= (c_i + ud_b) - td_b \\ &= c_i + (u - t)d_b, \end{aligned}$$

using the suitable indices $j(1), \ldots, j(t)$ and $k(1), \ldots, k(u)$. Therefore we have that $x \in \Gamma_g^{b-1}$ if and only if $x_* = 1$.

Note that $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$ is equal to the intersection of $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$. For any $x \in \Gamma_g^{b-1}$, since $x_* = 1$, we have that $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$. In addition, since $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$ is in $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}$, we have that $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$ is in $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$. Hence we conclude $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1}) \subset \ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$.

For any $\varphi \in \ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))}$, since φ is in $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}$ there exists $x \in \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$ such that $\varphi = \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x)$. In addition, since φ is in $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$ we have $x_* = 1$, and hence $x \in \Gamma_g^{b-1}$. Hence φ is in $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$. Therefore we conclude $\ker \mathcal{F}_g^{b-1}|_{\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))} \subset \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$.

Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 3.2.

3.3. **Proof of Proposition 3.3.**

Let π_g^{b-1} denote the finitely presented group with the generators x_i and y_j, and with the relators x_i^2, y_j and $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$, where $1 \leq i, i(1), i(2), i(3), i(4) \leq g$, $1 \leq j \leq b-1$ and $[x, y]$ means $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. There is the natural surjection $\psi : \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \to \pi_g^{b-1}$. We first show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Γ_g^{b-1} is equal to $\ker \psi$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\Gamma_g^{b-1} \supset \ker \psi$. We show $\Gamma_g^{b-1} \subset \ker \psi$. Namely, it suffices to show that $x \equiv 1$ modulo x_i^2, y_j and $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$ for any $x \in \Gamma_g^{b-1}$.

For any $x \in \Gamma_g^{b-1}$ we can denote $x \equiv x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}$ modulo x_i^2 and y_j. Since $O_{i_1}(x) = E_{i_1}(x)$, there exists $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that $i_1 = i_{2t}$. Hence, modulo x_i^2, y_j

and $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
x &\equiv x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_{2t}}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&= [x_{i_1}x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}x_{i_4}]x_{i_3}x_{i_4}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_5}x_{i_6}\cdots x_{i_{2t}}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&\equiv x_{i_3}x_{i_4}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_5}x_{i_6}\cdots x_{i_{2t}}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&= x_{i_3}x_{i_4}[x_{i_1}x_{i_2}, x_{i_5}x_{i_6}]x_{i_5}x_{i_6}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_7}x_{i_8}\cdots x_{i_{2t}}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&\equiv x_{i_3}x_{i_4}x_{i_5}x_{i_6}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_7}x_{i_8}\cdots x_{i_{2t}}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&\vdots\\
&= x_{i_3}\cdots x_{i_{2t-2}}[x_{i_1}x_{i_2}, x_{i_{2t-1}}x_{i_{2t}}]x_{i_{2t-1}}x_{i_{2t}}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&\equiv x_{i_3}\cdots x_{i_{2t-1}}\cdot x_{i_{2t}}x_{i_1}\cdot x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}\\
&\equiv x_{i_3}\cdots x_{i_{2t-1}}\cdot x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x' = x_{i_3}\cdots x_{i_{2t-1}}\cdot x_{i_2}\cdot x_{i_{2t+1}}\cdots x_{i_{2l}}$. It immediately follows that the word length of x' is $2l-2$ and x' is in Γ_g^{b-1}. Since $O_{i_3}(x') = E_{i_3}(x')$, there exists $2 \leq t' \leq l$ such that $i_3 = i_{2t'}$. Then, similarly there exists x'' such that the word length of x'' is $2l-4$, x'' is in Γ_g^{b-1} and $x' \equiv x''$ modulo x_i^2, y_j and $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$. Repeating the same operation, we have that $x \equiv x' \equiv x'' \equiv \cdots \equiv 1$ modulo x_i^2, y_j and $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$. Therefore we have $x \in \ker\psi$.

Thus we obtain the claim. □

We next show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *π_g^{b-1} has a presentation with*

$$\pi_g^{b-1} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_g \mid x_1^2, \ldots, x_g^2, (x_ix_jx_k)^2, 1 \leq i < j < k \leq g\rangle.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$ is a product of conjugations of some $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$ and that $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}]$ is a product of conjugations of some $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$, modulo $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_g^2$.

For any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq g$, modulo $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_g^2$ we see

$$\begin{aligned}
(x_ix_jx_k)^2 &\equiv (x_ix_jx_k)x_jx_j(x_ix_jx_k)\\
&= x_ix_j\cdot x_kx_j\cdot x_jx_i\cdot x_jx_k\\
&\equiv x_ix_j\cdot x_kx_j\cdot (x_ix_j)^{-1}(x_kx_j)^{-1}\\
&= [x_ix_j, x_kx_j].
\end{aligned}$$

If $(i(1), i(2), i(3), i(4)) = (i,i,i,i)$, (i,i,i,j), (i,i,j,i), (i,j,i,i), (j,i,i,i) (i,i,j,j), (i,j,i,j), (i,j,j,i), (i,i,j,k) and (i,j,k,k), we have immediately that $[x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)}, x_{i(3)}x_{i(4)}] \equiv 1$ modulo $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_g^2$. Hence we check the other cases.

For mutually different indices $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq g$, modulo $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_g^2$ we see

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_ix_j, x_ix_k] &\equiv x_ix_jx_ix_kx_jx_ix_kx_i \\
&\equiv x_i(x_jx_ix_k)^2x_i^{-1}, \\
[x_ix_j, x_kx_j] &\equiv x_ix_jx_kx_jx_jx_ix_jx_k \\
&\equiv (x_ix_jx_k)^2, \\
[x_ix_j, x_kx_i] &\equiv x_ix_jx_kx_ix_jx_ix_ix_k \\
&\equiv (x_ix_jx_k)^2, \\
[x_ix_j, x_jx_k] &\equiv x_ix_jx_jx_kx_jx_ix_kx_j \\
&\equiv (x_ix_kx_j)^2, \\
[x_ix_j, x_kx_l] &\equiv x_ix_jx_kx_lx_jx_ix_lx_k \\
&\equiv (x_ix_jx_k)^2x_kx_jx_i \cdot x_ix_jx_l(x_lx_jx_i)^2x_lx_k \\
&\equiv (x_ix_jx_k)^2x_kx_l(x_lx_jx_i)^2(x_kx_l)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

For the relator $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$, applying conjugations and taking their inverses, it suffices to consider the case $i < j < k$. Thus we obtain the claim. □

By Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_g^{b-1} \longrightarrow \pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *) \longrightarrow \pi_g^{b-1} \longrightarrow 1,$$

and hence Γ_g^{b-1} is normally generated by $x_1^2, \ldots, x_g^2$, $y_1, \ldots, y_{b-1}$ and $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$ for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq g$ in $\pi_1(N_g^{b-1}, *)$. Hence $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(\Gamma_g^{b-1})$ is normally generated by $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_1^2), \ldots, \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$, $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_1), \ldots, \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_{b-1})$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}((x_ix_jx_k)^2)$ for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq g$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g^{b-1}, *)$. Representative loops of $x_1^2, \ldots, x_g^2$ and $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$ bound a Möbius band (see Figure 9). Therefore $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_1^2), \ldots, \mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_{g-1}^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}((x_ix_jx_k)^2)$ are conjugate to $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$. Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 3.3.



(a) Representative loops of $x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_g^2$ bound a Möbius band.



(b) A representative loop of $(x_1x_2x_3)^2$ bounds a Möbius band. Similarly a representative loop of $(x_ix_jx_k)^2$ bounds a Möbius band for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq g$.

FIGURE 9.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \to \ker \mathcal{C}_g^b|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)} \to \mathcal{I}(N_g^b) \to \mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)) \to 1.$$

Hence $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ is the normal closure of $\ker \mathcal{C}_g^b|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)}$ and lifts by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ of normal generators of $\mathcal{C}_g^b(\mathcal{I}(N_g^b))$. By Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 3.4, we have that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ is normally generated by t_{δ_b}, the lift t_{ρ_b} by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ of $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(x_g^2)$, the lift $t_{\sigma_{jb}}t_{\delta_j}^{-1}$ by $\mathcal{C}_g^b$ of $\mathcal{P}_g^{b-1}(y_j)$ and lifts by $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)}$ of normal generators of $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$. We prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on the number b of the boundary components of N_g^b. We take the natural lift by $(\mathcal{F}_g^{b-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_g^b)|_{\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)}$ of a normal generator of $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$.

At first, $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$ and t_γ (see [5]). Hence we have that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^1)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_γ and t_{δ_1}, t_{ρ_1}. Similarly we have that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^2)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_γ, t_{δ_1}, t_{ρ_1} and t_{δ_2}, t_{ρ_2}, $t_{\sigma_{12}}$. For $b \geq 3$, suppose that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^{b-1})$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_γ, t_{δ_i}, t_{ρ_i} and $t_{\sigma_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq b-1$ with $i < j$. Then we have that $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ is normally generated by t_α, $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$, t_γ, t_{δ_i}, t_{ρ_i}, $t_{\sigma_{ij}}$ and t_{δ_b}, t_{ρ_b}, $t_{\sigma_{kb}}$, for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq b-1$ with $i < j$. Hence we obtain a normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$. In particular, for $g \geq 5$ since $\mathcal{I}(N_g)$ is normally generated by t_α and $t_\beta t_{\beta'}^{-1}$ (see [5]), we do not need t_γ as a normal generator of $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$ for $g \geq 5$. Finally, proving the following lemma, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *t_{δ_b}, t_{ρ_b} and $t_{\sigma_{kb}}$ are not needed as normal generators of $\mathcal{I}(N_g^b)$.*

Proof. Let a_{ij}, b_{jk} and c_{kl} be simple closed curves on N_g^b as shown in Figure 10, for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ and $1 \leq k, l \leq b-1$. Note that $t_{a_{ij}}$, $t_{b_{jk}}$ and $t_{c_{kl}}$ are conjugate to t_α, t_{ρ_k} and $t_{\sigma_{kl}}$, respectively. Hence it suffices to show that t_{δ_b}, t_{ρ_b} and $t_{\sigma_{kb}}$ are products of these Dehn twists.



Figure 10. The loops a_{ij}, b_{jk} and c_{kl}.

For simplicity, we denote $t_{a_{ij}} = a_{i,j}$, $t_{b_{jk}} = b_{j,k}$ and $t_{c_{kl}} = c_{k,l}$. We remark that $a_{i,j}$, $b_{j,k}$ and $c_{k,l}$ are described as shown in Figure 11.



FIGURE 11.

t_{δ_b}, t_{ρ_b} and $t_{\sigma_{kb}}$ are explicitly described by products of Dehn twists as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\delta_b} &= (t_{\delta_1}\cdots t_{\delta_{b-1}})^{-g-b+3} \\
&\quad (a_{1,2}\cdots a_{1,g}\cdot b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,b-1})\cdots(a_{g-1,g}\cdot b_{g-1,1}\cdots b_{g-1,b-1})(b_{g,1}\cdots b_{g,b-1}) \\
&\quad (c_{1,2}\cdots c_{1,b-1})\cdots(c_{b-3,b-2}c_{b-3,b-1})(c_{b-2,b-1}), \\
t_{\rho_b} &= (t_{\delta_1}\cdots t_{\delta_{b-1}})^{-g-b+4} \\
&\quad (a_{1,2}\cdots a_{1,g-1}\cdot b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,b-1})\cdots(a_{g-2,g-1}\cdot b_{g-2,1}\cdots b_{g-2,b-1})(b_{g-1,1}\cdots b_{g-1,b-1}) \\
&\quad (c_{1,2}\cdots c_{1,b-1})\cdots(c_{b-3,b-2}c_{b-3,b-1})(c_{b-2,b-1}), \\
t_{\sigma_{kb}} &= (t_{\delta_1}\cdots t_{\delta_{k-1}}\cdot t_{\delta_{k+1}}\cdots t_{\delta_{b-1}})^{-g-b+4} \\
&\quad (a_{1,2}\cdots a_{1,g}\cdot b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,k-1}\cdot b_{1,k+1}\cdots b_{1,b-1})\cdots \\
&\quad (a_{g-1,g}\cdot b_{g-1,1}\cdots b_{g-1,k-1}\cdot b_{g-1,k+1}\cdots b_{g-1,b-1})(b_{g,1}\cdots b_{g,k-1}\cdot b_{g,k+1}\cdots b_{g,b-1}) \\
&\quad (c_{1,2}\cdots c_{1,k-1}\cdot c_{1,k+1}\cdots c_{1,b-1})\cdots(c_{k-2,k-1}\cdot c_{k-2,k+1}\cdots c_{k-2,b-1}) \\
&\quad (c_{k-1,k+1}\cdots c_{k-1,b-1})(c_{k+1,k+2}\cdots c_{k+1,b-1})\cdots(c_{b-3,b-2}c_{b-3,b-1})(c_{b-2,b-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his thanks to Genki Omori for his valuable suggestions and useful comments.

REFERENCES

[1] J.S. Birman, D.R.J. Chillingworth, *On the homeotopy group of a non-orientable surface*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **71** (1972), 437–448. Erratum: Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **136** (2004), 441–441.
[2] D.R.J. Chillingworth, *A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a non-orientable surface*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **65** (1969), 409–430.
[3] D.B.A. Epstein, *Curves on 2-manifolds and isotopies*, Acta Math. **115** (1966), 83–107.
[4] B. Farb, D, Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, **49**.
[5] S. Hirose, R. Kobayashi, *A normal generating set for the Torelli group of a non-orientable closed surface*, arXiv:1412.2222 [math.GT], 2015.
[6] D. Johnson, *Homeomorphisms of a surface which act trivially on homology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 119–125.

[7] W.B.R. Lickorish, *Homeomorphisms of non-orientable two-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **59** (1963), 307–317.
[8] W.B.R. Lickorish, *On the homeomorphisms of a non-orientable surface*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **61** (1965), 61–64.
[9] L. Paris, B. Szepietowski, *A presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*, arXiv:1308.5856v1 [math.GT], 2013.
[10] J. Powell, *Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), no. 3, 347–350.
[11] A. Putman, *Cutting and pasting in the Torelli group*, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 829–865.
[12] M. Stukow, *Dehn twists on nonorientable surfaces*, Fund. Math. **189** (2006), no. 2, 117–147.
[13] M. Stukow, *Commensurability of geometric subgroups of mapping class groups*, Geom. Dedicata **143** (2009), 117–142.
[14] B. Szepietowski, *A presentation for the mapping class group of the closed non-orientable surface of genus 4*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 11, 2001–2016.

Department of General Education,
Ishikawa National College of Technology,
Tsubata, Ishikawa, 929-0392, Japan
E-mail address: kobayashi_ryoma@ishikawa-nct.ac.jp